

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,244	08/18/2003	Stephen John Dyks	F3314(C)	3282
201	7590 07/28/2006		EXAMINER	
UNILEVE	ER INTELLECTUAL PRO	MAHAFKEY, KELLY J		
700 SYLVA BLDG C2 S	AN AVENUE, SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-310	00	1761	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u> '	
Office Action Summary		10/643,244	DYKS ET AL.	,	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kelly Mahafkey	1761		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this of a BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the	action is non-final.	•	e merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the spe	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  See of References Cited (PTO-892)  See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  See of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Ser No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	°O-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Amendments made May 11, 2006 have been entered.

Claims 1-12 are pending.

## Claim Objections

The previous claim objection of claim 9 has been withdrawn upon further consideration.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The previous 112 rejection has been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OLS (German 3417196 A1) in view of Hui (ed.) (Dairy Science and Technology Handbook). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as cited in the office action mailed January 11, 2006. The new limitations added are in claims 11 and 12. The new limitations are similar to the limitations of claim 2, except that they encompass a more narrow temperature range of the frozen aerated product when it is extruded into the mold cavities. As stated in the previous office action, Hui teaches that the product could be filled at a temperature as low as –10C depending on the freezer and its extrusion capabilities. Hui teaches that the molds were filled near the initial

Art Unit: 1761

freezing point of the product in order to mold quickly. Refer specifically to pages 251 and 252. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to fill the frozen aerated product into a mould as disclosed by OLS at a temperature, including within the ranges of –5C and –15C and –7C and –11C, in view of Hui. One would have been motivated to do so depending on the specific composition being utilized and the initial freezing point of that composition in order to accomplish a quick and easy mould, thus creating a decreased processing time. Because both references deal with the process of filling molds with expandable products at high speeds, one would have a reasonable expectation of success from the combination.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a process wherein two separate cavities are filled before they are brought together") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Note: Applicant claims, "filling two cavities, one on each forming element, with a frozen aerated material, wherein a. *at least one of the cavities* is filled... c. the two cavities are then moved opposite one another and the frozen aerated product in each cavity is

pressed against the frozen aerated product of the other cavity." Applicant is referred to OLS, Abstract, Pages 1-6 and claims 1 and 16, which teach simultaneously filling two cavities, one on each forming element, with a frozen aerated material, wherein the two cavities are then moved opposite one another (i.e. after the mold is filled with the product, the mold is fully closed) and the frozen aerated products in each cavity (i.e. the frozen aerated product on each side of the mold) are pressed against one another (i.e. as the mold closes and as the product expands within the mold). It is further noted that because the recited process steps claim of filling *only one* cavity before the two cavities are moved opposite one another, the frozen aerated product in each cavity can be one and the same, as long as the product lies in both cavities (i.e. when the cavities are moved closer together) and is compressed together (i.e. upon the mold closing).

Regarding applicants' argument that OLS does not teach of a product that is allowed to expand outside its cavity, applicant is referred to the previous office action and OLS, Page 6 paragraph 3. OLS teaches that the product expands within the mold and that the mold is composed of two cavities, which are simultaneously filled and closed together. Thus, when the product is filled into the mold, a portion of the product is filled into each cavity, as the product expands within the mold, the product within one mold cavity expands and fills a portion of the corresponding cavity or the other piece of the mold, and vice versa.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant states in remarks that claims 1-10 have been rejected over OLS alone, however, as stated in the previous office action and as incorporated herein, claims 1-10 were rejected over OLS in view of Hui.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Mahafkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,244

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Mahafkey Examiner Art Unit 1761

KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6